ROOSEVELT RIPS UP HIS CRITICS

In a Sensational Special Message to Congress Defending "My Policies."

SCORES COURTS AND EDITORS

And Speaks of the "Anger and Terror" of "Corrupt Men of Vast Wealth."

Wants Another Employers' Liability Law and Compulsory Compensation to All Men Injured in Government Service -Would Also Limit the Power of Courts to Enforce Injunctions-Adocates Further Power to Cut Railroad Rates-Declares That Certain Rich Men Have Banded Together for Reaction.

WASHINGTON, Jan. 31,-President Rooseweit sent the following special message to Congress to-day: To the Senate and House o' Representatives:

The recent decision of the Supreme Court in regard to the employers' liability act, the experience of the Interstate Commerce Commission and of the Department of Justice in enforcing the interstate commerce and anti-trust laws, and the gravely significant attitude toward the law and its administration recently adopted by certain heads of great corporations, render it desirable that there should be additional legislation as regards certain of the relations between labor and capital, and between the great corporations and the public.

The Supreme Court has decided the employers' liability law to be unconstitutional because its terms apply to employees engaged wholly in intrastate commerce as wall as to employees engaged in interstate commerce. By a substantial majority the court helds that the Congress has power to deal with the question in so far as interstate commerce is concerned.

As regards the employers' liability law, I advocate its immediate reenactment, limiting its scope so that it shall apply only to the class of cases as to which the court says it can constitutionally apply, but strengthening its provisions within this scope. Interstate employment being thus covered by an adequate national law, the field of intrastate employment will be left to the action of the several States. With this clear definition of responsibility the States will undoubtedly give to the performance of their duty within their field the consideration the importance of the subject

LIABILITY LAW FOR GOVERNMENT. I also very urgently advise that a compre-

injured in the Government service. Under the present law an injured workman in the employment of the Government has no remedy, and the entire burden of the accident falls on the helpless man, his wife and his young children. This is an outrage. It is a matter of humiliation to the nation that there should not be on our statute books provision to meet and partially to atone for cruel misfortune when it comes upon a man through no fault of his own while faithfully serving the public. In no other prominent industrial country in the world could such gross injustice occur; for almost all civilized nations have enacted legislation embodying the complete recognition of the principle which places the entire trade risk for industrial accidents (excluding, of course, accidents due to wilful misconduct by the employee) on the industry as represented by the employer, which in this case is the Government. In all these countries the principle applies to the Government just as much as to the private employer. Under no circumstances should the injured employee or his surviving dependents be required to bring suit against the Government, nor should there be the requirement that in order to insure recovery negligence in some form on the part of the Government should be shown. Our proposition is not to confer a right of action upon the Government employee, but to secure him suitable provision against injuries received in the course of his employment. The burden of the trade risk should be placed upon the Government. Exactly as the workingman is entitled to his wages, so he should be entitled to indemnity for the injuries sustained in the natural course of his labor. The rates of compensation and the regulations for its payment should be specified in the law, and the machinery for determining the amount to be paid should in each case be provided in such manner that the employee is properly represented without expense to him. In other words, the compensation should be paid automatically. while the application of the law in the first instance should be vested in the Department of Commerce and Labor. The law should apply to all laborers, mechanics and other civilian employees of the Government of the United States, including those in the service of the Panama Canal Commission and of the insular governments.

STATES SHOULD TAKE ACTION. The same broad principle which should apply to the Government should ultimately be made applicable to all private employers. Where the nation has the power it should enact laws to this effect. Where the States alone have the power they should enact the laws. It is to be observed that an employers' liability law does not really mean mulcting employers in damages. It merely throws upon the employer the burden of accident insurance against injuries which are sure to occur. It requires him either to bear or to distribute through insurance the loss which can readily be borne when distributed, but which, if undistributed, bears with frightful hardship upon the unfortunate victim of accident. In theory, if wages were always freely and fairly adjusted, they would always include an allowance as against the risk of injury, just as certainly as the rate of interest for money includes an allowance for insurance against the risk of loss. In theory, if employees

would employ that part of their wages | vented with safety and with justice. When which is received because of the risk of injury to secure accident insurance. But as a matter of fact it is not practical to expect that this will be done by the great body of to issue stock or bonds, save in the manner employees. An employers' liability law makes it certain that it will be done, in when that Government makes sure that the effect, by the employer, and it will ulti-mately impose no real additional burden

There is a special bill to which I call your attention. Secretary Taft has urgently recommended the immediate passage of a law providing for compensation to employees of the Government injured in the work of the Isthmian Canal, and that \$100,000 be appropriated for this purpose each year. I earnestly hope this will be done; and that a special bill be passed covering the case of Yardmaster Banton, who was injured nearly two years ago while doing his duty. He is now helpless to support his wife and his three little boys-

LIMITATIONS ON INJUNCTIONS. I again call your attention to the need of

some action in connection with the abuse of injunctions in labor cases. As regards the rights and wrongs of labor and capital, from blacklisting to boycotting, the whole subject is covered in admirable fashion by the report of the Anthracite Coal Strike Commission, which report should serve as a chart for the guidance of both legislative and executive officers. As regards injunctions, I can do little but repeat what I have said in my last message to the Congress. Even though it were possible, I should consider it most unwise to abolish the use of the process of injunction. It is necessary in order that the courts may maintain their own dignity and in order that they may in effective manner check disorder and violence. The judge who uses it cautiously and conservatively, but who when the need arises uses it fearlessly, confers the greatest service upon our people, and his preeminent usefulness as a public servant should be heartily recognized. But there is no question in my mind that it has sometimes been used heedlessly and unjustly, and that some of the injunctions issued inflict grave and occasionally irreparable wrong upon those enjoined.

It is all wrong to use the injunction to prevent the entirely proper and legitimate actions of labor organizations in their struggle for industrial betterment, or under the guise of protecting property rights unwarrantably to invade the fundamenta. rights of the individual. It is futile to concede, as we all do, the right and the necessity of organized effort on the part of wage earners and yet by injunctive process to forbid peaceable action to accomplish the lawful objects for which they are organized and upon which their success depends. The fact that the punishment for the violation of an injunction must, to make the order effective, necessarily be summary and without the intervention of a jury makes its issuance in doubtful cases a dangerous practice, and in itself furnishes a reason why the process should be surrounded with safeguards to protect individuals against being enjoined from exercising their proper rights. Reasonable notice should be given the adverse party.

This matter is daily becoming of graver importance, and I cannot too urgently recnmend that the Congress give careful consideration to the subject. If some way of remedying the abuses is not found the feeling of indignation against them among large numbers of our citizens will tend to grow so extreme as to produce a revolt against the whole use of the process of injunction. The ultra-conservatives who object to cutting out the abuses will do well to remember that if the popular feeling does become strong many of those upon whom they rely to defend them will be the first to turn against them. Men of property cannot afford to trust to anything save the spirit of justice and fair play; for those very public men who, while it is to their interest, defend all the abuses committed by capital and pose as the champions of conservatism, will, the moment they think their interest as this and pander to what they esteem popular feeling by endeavoring, for instance, effectively to destroy the power of the courts in matters of injunction; and will even seek to render nugatory the power to punish for contempt, upon which power the very existence of the orderly administration of justice depends.

MORE LABOR LAW PROJECTS.

It is my purpose, as soon as may be, to submit some further recommendations in reference to our laws regulating labor conditions within the sphere of Federal authority. A very recent decision of the Supreme Court of the United States, rendered since this message was written, in the case of Adair vs. United States, seemingly of farreaching importance and of very serious probable consequences, has modified the previously entertained views on the powers of Congress in the premises in such a degree as to make necessary careful consideration of the opinions therein filed before it is possible definitely to decide in what way to call the matter to your attention.

Not only should there be action on certain laws affecting wage earners; there should also be such action on laws better to secure control over the great business concerns engaged in interstate commerce, and especialty over the great common carriers. The Interstate Commerce Commission should be empowered to pass upon any rate or practice on its own initiative. Moreover, it should be provided that whenever the commission has reason to believe that a proposed advance in a rate ought not to be made without investigation it should have authority to issue an order prohibiting the advance pending examination by the commission. GOVERNMENT TO RUN THE RAILBOADS.

I would not be understood as expressing an opinion that any or even a majority of these advancee are improper. Many of the rates in this country have been abnormally ow. The operating expenses of our railroads, notably the wages paid railroad employees, have greatly increased. These and other causes may in any given case justify an advance in rates, and if so the advance should be permitted and approved. But there may be, and doubtless are, cases where this is not true; and our law should be so framed that the Government, as the representative of the whole people, can protect the individual against unlawful exaction for the use of these public highways. The Interstate Commerce Commission should be provided with the means to make a physical valuation of any road as to which it deems this valuation necessary. In some form the Federal Government should exercise supervision over the financial operations of our interstate railroads. In no other way can justice be done between the private owners of those properties and the public which pay their charges. When once an inflated capitalization has gone upon the market and has become fixed in value, its existence must be recognized. As a practical matter it is then often absolutely necessary to take account of the thousands of innocent stockholders who have purchased their stock in good faith. The usual result of such inflation is therefore to im-pose upon the public an unnecessary but everlasting tax, while the innocent purchasers of the stock are also harmed and only a few speculators are benefited. Such wrongs when once accomplished can with

combinations of interstate railways must obtain Government sanction; when it is no longer possible for an interstate railway approved by the Federal Government; proceeds of every stock and bond issue go into the improvement of the property and not the enrichment of some individual or syndicate; when, whenever it becomes material for guidance in the regulative action of the Government, the physical value of one of these properties is determined and made known-there will be eliminated from railroad securities that element of uncertainty which lends to them their speculative quality and which has contributed much to the financial stress of the recent past.

I think that the Federal Government must also assume a certain measure of control over the physical operation of railways in the handing of interstate traffic. The ommission now has authority to establish through routes and joint rates. In order to make this provision effective and in order to promote in times of necessity the proper movement of traffic, I think it must also have authority to determine the conditions upon which cars shall be interchanged between different interstate railways. It is also probable that the commission should have authority, in particular instances, to determine the schedule upon which perishable commodities shall be moved

NO POOLING OF EARNINGS OR TRAFFIC. In this connection I desire to repeat my recommendation that railways be permitted to form traffic associations for the purpose of conferring about and agreeing upon rates, regulations and practices affecting interstate business in which the members of the association are mutually interested. This does not mean that they should be given the right to pool their earnings or their traffic. The law requires that rates shall be so adjusted as not to discriminate between individuals, localities or different species of traffic. Ordinarily, rates by all competing lines must be the same. As applied to practical conditions, the railway operations of this country cannot be conducted according to law without what is equivalent to conference and agreement. The articles under which such associations operate should be approved by the comnission; all their operations should be open to public inspection; and the rates, regulations and practices upon which they agree should be subject to disapproval by the

ommission.

I urge this last provision with the same earnestness that I do the others. This country provides its railway facilities by private capital. Those facilities will not be adequate unless the capital employed is assured of just treatment and an adequate country. In figure the charges of our rail. return. In fixing the charges of our rail-roads, I believe that, considering the inter-ests of the public alone, it is better to allow too liberal rather than too scanty earnings, for, otherwise, there is grave danger that our railway development may not keep pace with the demand for transportation. But the fundamental idea that these railways public highways must be recognized are public highways must be recognized, and they must be open to the whole public upon equal terms and upon reasonable

QUALIFIED MODIFICATION OF SHERMAN LAW. In reference to the Sherman anti-trust law, I repeat the recommendations made in my message at the opening of the present Conmessage at the opening of the present Congress, as well as in my message to the previous Congress. The attempt in this law to provide in sweeping terms against all combinations of whatever character, if technically in restraint of trade as such restraint has been defined by the courts, must necessarily be either futile or mischievous, and sometimes both. The present law makes some combinations illegal, although they may be useful to the country. On the other hand, as to some huge combinations which are both noxious and illegal, even if the action undertaken against them under the law by the Government is suceven if the action undertaken against them under the law by the Government is successful, the result may be to work but a minimum benefit to the public. Even though the combination be broken up and a small measure of reform thereby produced, the real good aimed at cannot be obtained, for such real good can come only by a thorough and continuing supervision over the acts of the combination in all its parts so as to prevent stock watering imparts so as to prevent stock watering imparts, so as to prevent stock watering, improper forms of competition, and, in short wrongdoing generally. The law should correct that portion of the Sherman act which prohibits all combinations of the sherman act which prohibits all combinations of the character above described, whether they be reasonable or unreasonable; but this should be done only as part of a general scheme to provide for this effective and thoroughgoing supervision by the national Government of all the operations of the Government of all the operations of the big interstate business concerns. Judge Hough of New York, in his recent decision in the Harriman case, states that the Congress possesses the power to limit the interstate operations of corporations not complying with Federal safeguards against the recurrence of obnoxious practices, and to license those which afford the public adequate security against methods calculated to diminish solvency, and therefore efficiency and economy in interstate transolency and economy in interstate transportation. The Judge adds that in these matters "the power of Congress is ample, though as yet not fruitful in results." It is very earnestly to be desired that either along the lines the Judge indicates, or in some other way equally efficates, in

along the lines the Judge indicates, or in some other way equally efficacious, the Congress may exercise the power which he holds it possesses.

Superficially it may seem that the laws, the passage of which I herein again advocate—for I have repeatedly advocated them before—are not connected. But in reality they are connected. Each and every one of these laws, if enacted, would represent part of the campaign against privilege, part of the campaign to make the class of great property holders realize that property has its duties no less than its rights. When the courts guarantee to the employer, as the courts guarantee to the employer, as they should, the rights of the employer, and to property the rights of property, they should no less emphatically make it evident that they will exact from property and from the employer the duties which should necessarily accompany these rights; and hitherto our laws have failed in precisely this point of enforcing the performance of duty by the man of property toward the man who works for him, by the man of great wealth, especially if he uses that wealth in corrects form toward uses that wealth in corporate form, toward the investor, the wage worker and the general public. The permanent failure of the man of property to fulfil his obligations would ultimately assure the wresting from him of the privileges which he is entitled to enjoy only if he recognizes the obligations accompanying them. Those who assume or share the responsibility for this failure are rendering but a poor service to the cause which they believe they champion.

SCHEME TO STOP MARGIN TRADING. I do not know whether it is possible, but if possible, it is certainly desirable, that in connection with measures to restrain stock connection with measures to restrain stock watering and overcapitalization there should be measures taken to prevent at least the grosser forms of gambling in securities and commodities, such as making large sales of what men do not possess and "cornering" the market. Legitimate purchases of commodities and of stocks and securities for investment have no connection whatever with purchases of stocks or other securities or commodities on a margin for speculative with purchases of stocks or other securities or commodities on a margin for speculative and gambling purposes. There is no moral difference between gambling at cards or in lotteries or on the racetrack and gambling in the stock market. One method is just as perniclous to the body politic as the other in kind, and in degree the evil worked is far greater. But it is a far more difficult subject with which to deal. The great bulk of the business transacted on the exchanges is not only legitimate, but is necessary to the working of our modern industrial system, and extreme care would have to be the working of our modern industrial system, and extreme care would have to be taken not to interfere with this business in doing away with the "bucket shop" type of operation. We should study both the successes and the failures of foreign legislators who, notably in Germany, have worked along this line, so as not to do anything harmful. Moreover, there is a special difficulty in dealing with this matter by the Federal Government in a Federal Republic like ours. But if it is possible to devise a way to deal with it the effort should be made, even if only in a coutious and tentative way.

We are Still Offering reduction from the regular price of every Jos garment in our three stores for men and

Men's Overcoats. Youths' Overcoats. Fur-lined Overcoats. Automobile Overcoats. Men's Business Suits. Youths' Suits. Dress Suits. Frock Coats and Vests. Cutaway Coats and Vests.

Fur Caps, Fur Collars, Fur Gloves, all subject to the above reductions.

Hackett Carhart & @

841 Broadway, cor. 13th St.

420 Broadway, cor. Canal St.

near Chambers St.

It would seem that the Federal Government could at least act by forbidding the use of the mails, telegraph and telephone wires for mere gambling in stocks and futures, just as it does in lottery transactions.

Inclose herewith a statement issued by the Chief of the Bureau of Corporations (Appendix 1) in answer to certain statements (which I also enclose) made by and on behalf of the agents of the Standard Oil Corporation (Appendix 2) and a letter of the Attorney-General (Appendix 3) containing an answer to certain statements, also enclosed, made by the president of the Santa Fé Railway Company (Appendix 4). The Standard Oil Corporation and the railway company have both been found guilty by the courts of criminal misconduct; both have been sentenced to pay heavy fines, and each has issued and published broadcast these statements, asserting their inno-STANDARD OIL AND ATCHISON ASSAILED and each has issued and published broad-cast these statements, asserting their inno-cence and denouncing as improper the action of the courts and juries in convict-ing them of guilt. These statements are very elaborate, are very ingenious and are untruthful in important particulars. The following letter and enclosure from Mr. Hency sufficiently illustrate the methods of the high officials of the Santa Fé and show the utter falsity of their plea of ignorance, the similar plea of the Standard Oil being equally without foundation:

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, DISTRICT OF OREGON.

PORTLAND, Jan. 11, 1908. The President, Washington, D. C.:
DEAR MR. PRESIDENT—I understand that Mr. Ripley of the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fé Railway system has commented with some severity upon your attitude toward the payment of rebates by certain transcontinental railroads and that he has declared that he personally never knew anything about any rebates being granted by his road. . . . nclose you herewith copy of a letter from Edward Chambers, general freight traffic manager of the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fé Railway system, to Mr. G. A. Davidson, auditor of the same company, dated February

This letter does not deal with interstate shipments, but the Constitution of the State of California makes the payment of rebates by railroads a felony, and Mr. Ripley has apparently not been above the commission of crime to secure business. You are at liberty to use this enclosure in any way that you think it can be of service to yourself or the public. * * * Sincerely yours, FRANCIS J. HENRY.

SAN FRANCISCO, Feb. 27, 1907. DEAR SIR: I hand you herewith a file of papers covering the movement of fuel oil shipped by the Associated Oil Company over our line from January 1, 1908, up to and in-

luding November 15, 1908.

We agreed with the Associated Oil Company's negotiations with Mr. Ripley, Mr. Wells and myself that in consideration of pany use, which is covered by a contract and the further consideration that we would take a certain quantity, they would in turn ship from Bakersfield over our line to San Francisco Bay points a certain minimum cents per barrel from Bakersfield, exclusive of the switching charge.

These statements cover the movement except that they have included Stockton, which is not correct, as it is not a bay point by water. We have paid them on account of ducted from the total of movement shown

I wish you would arrange to make up statement, check the same and refund to the Associated Oil Company down to the field, where they are the shippers, regardless of who is consignee, as all their fuel oil is sold delivered. The reason for making his deal in addition to what I have stated is that the Associated Oil Company have their own boats and carry oil from fields controlled by themselves along the coast near San Luis Obispo to San Francisco at a much lower cost than the special rate we have made them and in competition with the Union Oil Company and the Standard Oil Company it was necessary for them to sell at the San Francisco Bay points on the basis of the cost of water transportation from the coast fields. They figured they could only afford to pay us the 25 cents per barrel if by doing this they sold our company a certain amount of fuel oil, otherwise the business covered by the attached papers would have come in by boat from the coast

I am writing this up completely so that there may be in the papers a history of the reasons why this arrangement was made. wish you would go ahead and make the adjustment as soon as possible, as the Associated Oil Company are very anxious to have the matter closed up. The arrange-ment was cancelled on November 15 at a conference between Mr. Ripley, Mr. Wells, Mr. Porter and myself. Yours truly,
EDWARD CHAMBERS.

Shipments, Associated Oil Company, Mr. G. A. Davidson, Auditor, Los Angeles. REACTIONARIES AND OTHERS.

REACTIONARIES AND OTHERS.

The attacks by these great corporations on the Administration's actions have been given a wide circulation throughout the country, in the newspapers and otherwise, by those writers and speakers who, consciously or unconsciously, act as the representatives of predatory wealth—of the wealth accumulated on a giant scale by all forms of iniquity, ranging from the oppression of wage workers to unfair and unwholesome methods of crushing out competition, and to defrauding the public by stock jobbing and the manipulation of securities. Certain wealthy men of this stamp, whose bing and the manipulation of securities. Certain wealthy men of this stamp, whose conduct should be abhorrent to every man of ordinarily decent conscience, and who commit the hideous wrong of teaching our young men that phenomenal business success must ordinarily be based on dishonesty, have during the last few months made it apparent that they have banded together to work for a reaction. Their endeavor is to overthrow and discredit all who honestly administer the law, to prevent any additional legislation which would check and restrain them, and to secure if possible a freedom from all restraint which will permit every unscrupulous wrongdoer to do what every unscrupulous wrongdoer to do what he wishes unchecked provided he has enough money. The only way to counter-act the movement in which these men are engaged is to make clear to the public just what they have done in the past and just what they are seaking to accomplish in the what they are seeking to accomplish in the

port its views are not only not engaged in an assault on property, but are strenuous upholders of the rights of property. The wise attitude to take is admirably stated by Gov. Fort of New Jersey, in his recent

inaugural address; the principles which he upholds as regards the State being, of course, identical with those which should obtain as regards the nation. Just and fair regulation can only be ob-

jected to by those misconceiving the rights of the State. The State grants all corporate powers to its railways and other public utility corporations and may not only modify but repeal all charters and charter privileges it copfers. It may therefore impose conditions upon their operation at its pleasure. Of course in the doing of these things it should act wisely and with conservatism, protecting all vested rights of property and the interests of the innocent holders of the securities of existing quasi-public corpora-tions. Regulation, therefore, upon a wise basis of the operation of these public utilities companies, including the fixing of rates and public charges, upon complaint and subject to court review, should be entrusted to a proper board, as well as the right to regulate the output of stock and the bonded issues of such corporations. If this were done it would inure to the benefit of the people and the companies, for it would fix the value of such securities and act as a guaranty against their depreciation. Under such a law the holders of existing securities would find them protected, and new securities offered would have the confidence of the people because of the guaranty of the State that they were only issued for extensions or betterments and upon some basis of the cost of such extensions or betterments. It is difficult to suggest any legislation that would give greater confidence to the public and inrestors than a wise public utilities bill, and the mere suggestion of its enactment should cause this class of security holders to feel that their holdings were strengthened and that the State was about to aid the managers of its public utility corporations to conserve their corporate property for the public benefit and for the protection of invested capital.

The time has come for the strict superrision of these great corporations and the imitation of their stock and bond issues inder some proper public official. It will make for conservatism and strengthen the companies doing a legitimate business, and eliminate, let us hope, those which are merely speculative in character and organized simply to catch the unsuspecting or credulous investor. Corporations have come in our business world to remain for all time. Corporate methods are the most satisfacory for business purposes in many cases. Every business or enterprise honestly incorporated should be protected and the pub-lic made to feel confidence in its corporate organization. Capital invested in corporaas that invested by individuals, and the State should do everything to foster and protect invested corporate capital and encourage the public in giving to it support and confidence. Nothing will do so much to achieve this desirable result as 1-roper stock and bond issues, so that overcapitalization will be prevented and the people may know when they buy a share of stock or a bond * * * that the name of the State upon it stands as a guaranty that there is value behind it and reasonable safety in its purchase. The act must make it clear that the intent of the supervision by the commissioner is not for the purpose of striking at corporate organizations or invested corporate capital, but rather to recognize and protect existing conditions and insure greater safeguards for the future.

Capital does not go into a State where reprisals are taken or vested interests are injured: it comes only where wise, conservaive, safe treatment is assured, and it should be our policy to encourage and secure cor-

porate rights and the best interests of stock

and bond holders committed to our legal

Under no circumstances would we countenance attacks upon law-abiding property, or do aught but condemn those who hold up rich men as being evil men because of their riches. On the contrary, our whole effort is to insist upon conduct, and neither wealth nor property nor any other class distinction, as being the proper standard by which to judge the actions of men. For the honest man of great wealth we have a hearty regard, just as we have a hearty regard for the honest politician and honest newspaper. But part of the movement to trown on dishonesty. We attack only the corrupt men of wealth, who find in the purchased politician the most efficient instrument of corruption and in the purchased newspaper the most efficient defender of corruption. Our main quarrel is not with these agents and representatives of the interests. They derive their chief power from the great sinister offenders who stand behind them. They are but puppets who move as the strings are pulled. It is not the puppets but the strong cunning men and the mighty forces working for evil behind and through the puppets with whom we have to deal. Under no circumstances would we counteand through the puppets with whom we have to deal. We seek to control law-defying wealth; in the first place to prevent its doing dire evil to the Recubiic, and in the next place to avoid the vindictive and dreadful radicalism which, if left uncontrolled, it is extensive the end to avoid. is certain in the end to arouse. it is certain in the end to arouse. Sweeping attacks upon all property, upon all men of means, without regard to whether they do well or ill, would sound the death knell of the Republic; and such attacks become inevitable if decent citizens permit those rich men whose lives are corrupt and evil to domineer in swollen pride, unchecked and unhindered, over the destines of this country. We act in no vindictive spirit, and we are no respecters of persons. If a labor union does wrong, we oppose it as labor union does wrong, we oppose it as firmly as we oppose a corporation which does wrong; and we stand equally stoutly for the rights of the man of wealth and for the rights of the wage worker. We seek to protect the property of every man who acts honestly, of every corporation that represents wealth honestly accumulated and honestly used. We seek to stop wrongdoing, and we desire to punish the wrongdoers only so far as is necessary to achieve this end.

PLOYERS.

There are ample material rewards for those who serve with fidelity the mammon of unrighteousness; but they are dearly paid for by the people who permit their re; resentatives, whether in public life, in the press or in the colleges where their young men are taught, to preach and to practise that there is one law for the rich and another for the poor. The amount of money the representatives of certain great moneyed interests are willing to spend can be gauged by their recent publication broadcast throughout the papers of this country, from the Atlantic to the Pacific, of huge advertisements attacking with envenomed bitterness, the Administration's polomed bitterness the Administration's pol-icy of warring against successful dishonesty, and by their circulation of pamphlets and

Trust Company FIFTH AVE. & 36TH ST., NEW YORK CAPITAL & SURPLUS \$1,400,000

Interest Paid on Daily Balances.

DIRECTORS

B. Altman & Co.
JOHN JACOB ASTOR,
GEO. F. BAKER,
Prest First National Benk.
TEPHEN BAKER,
Prest Bank of the Manhatten
RO. B. CASE.
White & Case. C. CONVERSE. President.
P. DAVISON.
Vice-Prost First NationalOHN I. DOWNEY.
Building Construction.
ARRISON E. GAWERE. Consolidated Gas Co. OBERT WALTON GOSLEY. BOMAS W. LAHONT. M Vice-Pres't Bankers Truss Co.

E. C. CONVERSE, President ALEX. H. STEVENS, Vice-Pres. SEWARD PROSSER, Vice-Pres.

ART SALES AND EXHIBITIONS.

ART SALES AND EXHIBITIONS.

AMERICAN ART GALLER

The Private Collection of Mr. James G. Tyler

American and Foreign Paintings

including examples of his own work

Rare Oriental Porcelains

European Ceramics and miscellaneous objects To be Sold at Unrestricted Public Sale

On Thursday Evening Next, Feb'y 6th, at 8 o'clock and on Friday and Saturday afternoons next,

February 7th and 8th, at 2:30 o'clock.

Illustrated Catalogue mailed on receipt of 50 cents. THE SALE WILL BE CONDUCTED BY MR. THOMAS E. KIRBY OF

The American Art Association, Managers 6 East 23d Street, Madison Square South.

law to the pure food law, every measure for honesty in business that has been passed

during the last six years has been opposed

sums of money spent in these various ways.

feel in the very marrow of their being. The attack is sometimes made openly against us for enforcing the law, and sometimes

attack is sometimes made openly against us for enforcing the law, and sometimes with a certain cunning, for not trying to enforce it in some other way than that which experience shows to be practical. One of the favorite methods of the latter class of assailant is to attack the Administration for not procuring the imprisonment instead of the fine of offenders under these anti-trust laws. The man making this assault is usually either a prominent lawyer or an editor who takes his policy from the financiers and his arguments from their attorneys. If the former, he has defended and advised many wealthy malefactors, and he knows well that, thanks to the advice of lawyers like himself, a certain kind of modern corporation has been turned into an admirable instrument by which to render it well-nigh impossible to get at the head of the corporation, at the man who is really most guilty. When we are able to put the real wrongdoer in prison, this is what we strive to do; this is what we have actually done with some very wealthy criminals, who, moreover, represented that most baneful of all alliances, the alliance between the corruption of high finance. This is what we have done in the Gaynor and Greene case, in the case of the misapplication of funds

corruption of high finance. This is what we have done in the Gaynor and Greene case, in the case of the misapplication of funds in connection with certain great banks in Chicago, in the land fraud caces, where, as in other cases likewise, neither the highest political position nor the possession of great wealth has availed to save the offenders

wealth has availed to save the offenders from prison. The Federal Government does scourge sin; it does bid sinners fear; for it has put behind the bars with impartial severity the powerful financier, the power-ful politician, the rich land thief, the rich

ful politician, the rich land thief, the rich contractor—all, no matter how high their station, against whom criminal misdeeds can be proved. All their wealth and power cannot protect them. But it often happens that the effort to imprison a given defendant is certain to be futile, while it is possible to fine him or to fine the corporation of which he is head; so that, in other words, the only way of punishing the wrong is by fining the corporation, unless we are content to proceed personally against the minor agents. The corporation lawyers to whom I refer and their employers are the men mainly responsible for this state of things, and their responsibility is shared with all who ingeniously oppose the passing of fust and effective laws, or who fail to execute them when they have been put on the statute books.

WARNING FOR INNOCENT STOCKHOLDERS

Much is said, in these attacks upon the policy of the present Administration, about the rights of "innocent stockholders." That stockholder is not innocent who voluntarily purchases stock in a corporation.

books prepared with the same object; while they likewise push the circulation of the writings and speeches of men who, whether because they are misled, or because, seeing the light, they yet are willing to sin against the proceedings the Government finds the light, serve these their masters of great wealth to the cost of the plain people. The books and pamphlets, the controlled newsholiers by overcapitalization, stock watering, stock jobbing, stock manipulation. This we have sought to prevent, first, by exposing the thing done and punishing the offender when any existing law had been violated; second, by recommending the passage of laws which would make unlawful similar practices for the future. The whole cially in the interest of the Standard Oil Trust and of certain notorious railroad combinations, but they also defend other individuals and corporations of great wealth that have been guilty of wrongdoing. It is only rarely that the men responsible for the wrongdoing themselves speak or write. Normally they hire others to do their bidding or find others who will do it without hire. From the railroad rate law to the pure food law, every measure passage of laws which would make unlawful similar practices for the future. The public men, lawyers and editors who loudly proclaim their sympathy for the "innocent stockholders" when a great law-defying corporation is punished, are the first to protest with frantic vehemence against all efforts by law to put a stop to the practices which are the real and ultimate sources of the diamage alike to the stockholders and by these men on its passage and in its administration with every resource that bitter and unscrupulous craft could suggest and the command of almost unlimited money secure. But for the last year the attack has been made with most bitterness upon of the damage alike to the stockholders and the public. The apologists of successful dishonesty always declaim against any effort to punish or prevent it, on the ground that any such effort will "unsettle business." It is they who by their acts have unsettled business; and the very men raising this cry spend hundreds of thousands of dollars in securing, by speech, editorial, book or pamphlet, the defence by misstatements of the actual administration of the law especially through the Department of Justice, but also through the Interstate Commerce Commission and the Bureau of Corpora-tions. The extraordinary violence of the assaults upon our policy contained in these speeches, editorials, articles, advertise-ments and pamphlets, and the enormous pamphiet, the derence by misstatements or what they have done; and yet when public servants correct their misstatements by telling the truth they declaim against them for breaking silence, lest "values be depre-ciated." They have hurt honest business give a fairly accurate measure of the anger and terror which our public actions have caused the corrupt men of vast wealth to

The keynote of all these attacks upon the effort to secure honesty in business and in politics is well expressed in brazen protests against any effort for the moral regenera-tion of the business world, on the ground that it is unnatural, unwarranted and inthat it is unnatural, unwarranted and jurious, and that business panic is the necessary penalty for such effort to secure business honesty. The morality of such a pleasure of such as great as if made on behalf is precisely as great as if made on behalf of the men caught in a gambling establish-ment when that gambling establishment is raided by the police. If such words mean anything they mean that those whose sentiments they represent stand against the ef-fort to bring about a moral regeneration of business which will prevent a repetition of the insurance, banking and street rail-road scandals in New York; a repetition of the Chicago & Alton deal; a repetition of the combination between certain profes-sional politicians, certain professional labor leaders and certain big financiers from the disgrace of which San Francisco has just been rescued; a repetition of the successful nents they represent stand against the ef effort by the Standard Oil people to crush out every competitor, to overswe the common carriers and to establish a monopoly which treats the public with a contempt which the public deserves so long as it permits men of such principles and such sentiments to avow and act on them with impunity. The outcry against stopping dishonest practices among wrongdoers who happen to be wealthy is precisely similar to the outcry raised against every effort for cleanliness and decency in city government, because, forscoth, it will "hurt business." The same outcry is made against the Department of Justice for prosecuting the heads of colossal corporations that has been made against the men who in San Francisco have prosecuted with impartial severity the wrongdoers among business men, public officials and labor leaders alike. The principle is the same in the two cases. ity the wrongdoers among business men, public officials and labor leaders alike. The principle is the same in the two cases. Just as the blackmailer and bribe giver stand on the same evil eminence of infamy, so the man who makes an enormous fortune by corrupting legislatures and municipalities and fleecing his stockholders and the public stands on the same moral level with the creature who fattens on the blood money of the gambling house and the saloon. Moreover, in the last analysis, both kinds of corruption are far more intimately connected than would at first sight appear the wrongdoing is at bottom the same. Corrupt business and corrupt politics act and react with ever increasing debasement, one on the other; the corrupt labor leader are both in the poration and the corrupt labor leader are both in the same degree the enemies of honest corporations and honest labor unions; the rebate taker, the franchise trafficker, the manipulator of securities,